1	The question is about to what extent we are characterized by reason and
2	where do emotions fit into the picture. On one side of this dilemma we have reason
3	being what largely defines our behavior and on the other side we have will, or
4	emotions, as a defining factor for our behavior. This dilemma is more of a spectrum
5	than a coin.
6	Let me first discuss reason. Being rational is generally within reason, and this
7	is predictability and order. Among the highest qualities of reason are intelligence,
8	practical/emotionless thought, logic, common sense, purpose, and analysis. There
9	are more, but these give you a sense of the scientific-feeling nature reason has. This
10	is something that is universally identical in all humans with no room for
11	individuality. Plato defines a good soul as one that is healthy, virtuous, and for its
12	well-being reason must be in charge (Plato 53).
13	Aristotle sees the human good as tied to reason (Aristotle 57). It is this good
14	that will lead us to the virtue Plato discusses. Why does Aristotle place reason as key
15	to human good? If everyone followed their emotions then how would anyone reason
16	with anyone else. Being universal, reason is what glues modern society together. If
17	everything that made up humans were thought of like our government, Aristotle
18	would point to reason as the system of checks and balances.
19	We're generally rational creatures, but can't reason come from emotions? So
20	emotions aren't always a problem and the complexity of our dilemma unveils itself.
21	Let us now take a look at will/emotions. Dostoyevsky contends that we are
22	overemphasizing reason as the key to a good life. Really we aren't as rational as we

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

claim we are. This is because we care about being ourselves, doing what we want dictated by emotions and free will.

Dostoyevsky does recognize that some things can't be managed by pure emotions, like the rule that 2\*2=4, so reason has a role, but it just isn't a central role (Dostoyevsky 70). Using the example of a toothache he conveys that we may be more selfish than rational (Dostoyevsky 70); we just look out for ourselves. Selfinterest appears to be an irrational aspect of being human. We desire things that aren't logical because in the end only our desires matter (Dostovevsky 71). Humanity is stupid for not following reason, but this not only doesn't stop us from stupid acts but also can lead to great ideas that further our human society (Dostoyevsky 73). He claims that being reasonable leads to single-function lives, and so we rebel against this by prioritizing emotions over reason (Dostovevsky 74). Reason may lead to this interest in oneself, but we still would have emotions towards others (Dostoyevsky 76). So, since our desires are what animate us, and we still feel for others with emotions, we tend to live with emotions guiding us instead of reason (Dostovevsky 77).

I mostly agree with Dostoyevsky. We prefer having all our emotions to being someone like Sherlock Holmes or Spock who have none (also see that all of the purely rational characters we have are fictional as support in this area). We must also note that reason isn't the only driving force that is universal, as instincts are universal too. Really emotions are the only aspect of humans, at this level, that is unique, and even there we all have the same emotions to work with.

Emotions aren't disruptive, but rather emotional intelligence is central to human ability (Ben-Ze'ev 165). Ben-Ze'ev contends that emotions can be rational, in accordance with reason, but emotions and reason are separate systems. Sometimes we have reasons backing our emotions, but we must then be careful of the possibility that our emotions aren't genuine for that very reason. These systems Ben-Ze'ev describes are both used to our advantage as in new situations immediate emotional responses are best but in the future for similar situations a reasoned response is better. I agree with him in thinking that we need both systems to be "normal" humans. Psychopaths, and those with severe autism, lack a complete emotional system and aren't considered the average human. Our emotions have reasoned regularities to guide their applicability to situations.

We consider ourselves creatures of reason, yet in reality (as both Ben-Ze'ev and Dostoyevsky show) we operate based on emotions and will far more often. To conclude that one is true over the other inherently denies a major portion of what governs our behavior. My final statement on this question is that neither directly controls our behavior, but rather a conglomeration of both is in control. Emotions govern the instinctual responses (once we learn the proper emotions) before we learn the proper responses to a given situation. But after that learning curve is reached we behave with reasoned emotions. Never can reason express itself, so emotions must be present to express reason as well as themselves. Both emotions and reason are central characteristics of being human that we cannot live without.

67	References
68	Aristotle. From <i>The Nicomachean Ethics</i> . In David Barash (Ed.). <i>Ideas of Human</i>
69	Nature, 56-58. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
70	
71	Ben-Ze'ev, A. From The Subtlety of Emotions. 161-181. Cambridge, Massachusetts: A
72	Bradford Book.
73	
74	Dostoyevsky, F. From Notes from Underground. In David Barash (Ed.). Ideas of
75	Human Nature, 68-78. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
76	
77	Plato. From The Republic and Phaedrus. In David Barash (Ed.). Ideas of Human
78	Nature, 50-56. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.